Radiation Protection Update Training for Dental Professionals and University Staff/Students

Experiences with Online vs Face-to-Face Options

Graham Hart Independent RPA/LPA/MPE



Introduction

 Although this presentation is principally about dental radiation protection training courses, it also covers training in the University sector, and hopefully has clear relevance to RP training for other groups

Background - Dental

- There has not been a lot of published work regarding the knowledge levels of dental staff on RP issues
- However, what data there is shows understanding is at best variable...

Background

- A Belgian questionnaire study of postgraduate general dental practitioners showed
 - 32% didn't know what kV they used
 - 75% didn't know what mA they used
 - 47% didn't know what `collimation' meant!

Aps, DMFR (2010) 39, 113–118. doi: 10.1259/dmfr/52763613

Background

- A Welsh study of postgraduate RP CPD testing of general dental practitioners showed very low test scores
 - 2.4% 4.9% of GDPs reaching the pass mark for CPD courses in 2003-2007

Absi. et.al., DMFR, (2009) 38, 127–133. doi: 10.1259/dmfr/78885709

GDC Requirements

- In the UK, Dentists & other Dental Care Professionals must be registered with GDC
- CPD on RP matters was compulsory with GDC but was changed to 'recommended' in 2018
- Staff should do at least 5 hours CPD on radiography & radiation protection in each 5-year CPD cycle

GDC Requirements

- Recommended syllabus for RP CPD training given by GDC
- GDC require CPD providers to ensure active participation takes place and to have QA measures in place for any training they provide
 - may include discussion, feedback forms and/or testing

The Questions

- How do you encourage learning rather than merely requiring attendance?
- How do you demonstrate that learning has taken place?
- How do you recognise areas with poor understanding to improve future training?

Attendance

- If mere attendance is required then there is no guarantee that any learning has taken place
- All that may matter is receiving the CPD certificate
- Increased radiation safety awareness or improved practice may not happen

Discussion

- Discussion used as the primary method for assessing participation has some problems
 - Can be intimidating for those who are naturally shy or in the presence of a more senior colleague
 - Tends to be dominated by a few individuals, often with their own agenda
 - Can derail course progression

Feedback

- Feedback used as the primary method for assessing participation also has some problems
 - Can be dominated by non content issues (catering, parking, heating, etc.)
 - Difficult to assess whether specific areas or issues have been adequately addressed
 - Does have a place to deal with concerns or necessary amendments to content

Demonstration of Learning

- Our approach was to use pre- and posttraining course multiple choice questionnaires
- 10 pre-course questions
- 30 post-course questions
- The pre-course questions were a sub-set of the post-course ones



- There was no fixed time limit to answer the questions
- Participants were told that many of the questions had more than one correct answer (but not how many were correct for any one question)
- Course notes were allowed to be used for the post-course questions



- Participants were told that marks would be deducted for incorrect answers to the multiple choice questions in an attempt to eliminate guessing
- 8 of the 30 questions required written input rather than checking an MCQ box (or boxes)

F2F Questionnaire Results

| | Pre % MCQ sub-set | Post % MCQ sub-set | Post % All Questions |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| Mean | 51.1 | 76.5 | 67.0 |
| Range | 5-92 | 51-95 | 22-91 |
| Mean % Improvement | | 18.7 | 15.9 |
| Participant Improvement | | 93.0 | 88.0 |
| n = 178 | | | |

Both Post-Pre improvements significant p<0.001 using the Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test

Feedback Forms

Feedback about the Session

Feedback forms had set questions as well as free text

| Was the speed of delivery of the | much too slow | little too s | slow ok | | k | little too fa | | | much too fast |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| session? | 1 | 10 | | 215 | | 12 | | | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Was the level of the information | much too easy | little too e | asy | y ok | | little too hard | | | much too hard |
| presented? | 0 | 0 | | 206 | | | 32 | | 3 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Did the session cover the material | a lot missing | a little mis | sing | 0 | k | exceeded expectations | | | |
| expected? | 0 | 1 | | 19 | 197 | | 24 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Did the delivery of the session | much too boring | little too b | oring | 0 | ok | | quite interesting | | very interesting |
| maintain your interest? | 2 | 29 | | 132 | | 41 | | | 15 |
| | • | • | | | | | | | |
| Do you feel that the content was | Yes | Yes No – your explanation will help with future courses | | | | | | | urses |
| relevant to you? | 216 | | | 10 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Do you feel that this course has | Increased you knowledge bas | r unde e yo | Increased your understanding of your existing knowledge base | | Left you with some areas of confusion | | | Had little overall effect | |
| | 121 | | 99 | 1 | | 16 | | | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| How did you find the assessment | much too easy | a little too easy | | ok | | a little too hard | | ł | much too hard |
| questions? | 1 | 1 | | 10 | 104 | | 109 | | 14 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| What is your view on doing an | somewhat useful | | very useful | | | | somewhat of a waste of time | | |
| assessment | 111 | | 92 | | | 21 | | | |

- Some of the free text feedback related to the testing
- Some also demonstrated specific learning points that had been gained

- "Found that the quiz before useful to get me thinking about radiography and jog my memory about forgotten information"
- "Pre- and post-course testing helped improve my self-awareness of my knowledge of the topic"
- "Will undertake updated risk assessments"
- "Very difficult to do a test at the end of a long day listening – do at lunchtime?"

- Other comments were received in the days following the course
- These indicated that participants had taken on board course content:
- "Have updated our local rules since attending course and have also ordered radon testing kit"

- "I now move my patients to the nurse's chair to take intra-oral radiographs as before I would have had to walk through the X-ray beam to reach the main switch in an emergency shut off"
- "I have now lowered the exposure time to our patients after the course"

Course Amendments

- The test results were analysed to find those topics that generated the most incorrect answers
- Course content / delivery was modified to try and rectify this for future courses
- The lecture order was modified to see if this would also improve understanding

Online Dental Courses

 During the Covid lockdowns, all dental RP CPD training was moved online

Online Dental Courses

- The same format was followed
 - Video attendance was a requirement
 - Pre-course MCQs were emailed at the start of the Zoom session & were returned prior to commencement
 - Post-course MCQs were emailed after the course & were returned before the end of the session

Questionnaire Results – Post-Amendment

| | Pre % MCQ sub-set | | | t % sub-set | Post % All Questions | | |
|----------------------------|----------------------|--------|-------|----------------|-------------------------|--------|--|
| | F2F | Online | F2F | Online | F2F | Online | |
| Mean | 51.1 | 39.6 | 76.5 | 72.0 | 67.0 | 66.8 | |
| Range | 5-92 | 19-62 | 51-95 | 48-97 | 22-91 | 38-96 | |
| Mean % Improvement | | | 18.7 | 30.4 | 15.9 | 25.0 | |
| Participant Improvement | | | 93.0 | 97.7 | 88.0 | 97.7 | |
| n = 44 (online) | | • | • | • | | - | |

Conclusions - Dental

- Pre- and post-course testing has enabled us to demonstrate learning has taken place
- Questions with many incorrect answers have been used as pointers to change the order and content of the lectures to aid greater understanding

Background - University

- All non-clinical staff and students at the University where I act as RPA are required to attend a ~2hr radiation safety awareness course if they intend to use any form of x-ray generator
- Pre-course testing was not carried out as no a priori knowledge was expected

Background - University

- Course content covers
 - Ionising radiation doses & effects
 - Radiation protection principles
 - Relevant legislation
 - Practical safety issues concerning the University's x-ray equipment & its use

Face-to-face attendees completed feedback forms

Had closed and open questions

Feedback

| | Excellent | Very Good | Good | Average | Poor |
|--|-----------|-----------|------------------|-----------|------|
| What was your overall assessment of the event? | 34 | 12 | - | - | - |
| | | | | - | _ |
| 2. How for the contract of the facility of the factor of t | Excellent | Very Good | Good | Average | Poor |
| 2. How effective were the facilitators / presenters? | 38 | 7 | - | - | - |
| | Excellent | Very Good | Good | Average | Poor |
| How well were the event objectives met? | 30 16 | | - | - | - |
| 4. How useful were the materials / resources | Excellent | Very Good | Good | Average | Poor |
| supporting this event? | 29 | 17 | - | - | - |
| 5. Opportunities to network and share knowledge / | Very Good | Good | Average | N/A | |
| ideas | 20 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 6 |
| Did the event meet your expectations? | Exceeded | Met | Partially Met | Barely Me | |
| | 19 | 27 | - | - | |
| 7. To what extent has your level of understanding | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| of the event topic increased? (4 being greater than 0) | - | 2 | 1 | 18 | 25 |
| 8. If you notified us of any additional requirements, v | YES | NO | N/A | | |
| accommodated? If 'NO', please provide details: | 10 | - | 30 | | |
| 9. Would you recommend this event to a colleague? | | | YES | NO | N/A |
| If 'NO', please state why: | | 34 | - | 7 | |
| Attendees: 50 Evaluations: 46 | | | | | |

10. Which part(s) did you find most useful? I enjoyed the whole presentation: it was extremely useful The rules of safe work with radioactive sources All of it x3 How much radiation actually is harmful Everything, very enjoyable and informative Understanding how x-rays work · Health and Safety aspects Safety x2 How dangerous x-rays can be X-ray burns Proper explanation of procedures and legal guidance/regulations How to minimise risk Learning about the risks and precautions to take Causes to health due to poor knowledge Examples. How to avoid accidents Dangers of x-ray based on university machines Finding out about the different types of radiation exposure How to avoid radiation X-ray radiation rules for safety and dangers Risk Assessment and personal safety The reference to average exposure / prevention methods The personal safety sections as these are most relevant to me · Safety steps, contingency plans and restriction to exposure X-ray safety, regulations, procedures Levels of radiation to how dangerous it is Advice on limitation of exposure Graham was very engaging making a dry (sorry!) topic interesting Images of consequences to radiation The structure was very clear How to stay safe from radiation exposure ٠ PPE and how to avoid exposure The bit about putting radiation into perspective Legislation & where radiation exposure can be found day to day Different types of machines and practical examples 11. Which part(s) did you find least useful? N/A x 11 Nothing x 5 Everything was very useful A bit more into actual writing of risk assessments? Would like links to more resources Legal part Background information could be toned down a little Regulations that University providers have to follow when introducing new equipment etc (less relevant to me) Digressions (but at least they were fun) 12. If you have any further comments or ideas for improvements, please list them here: Great, enthusiastic teacher, thank you ٠ Geezer is a comedian

University Online Courses

- During the Covid lockdowns, all safety awareness training was moved online
- Some students did not have (or did not use) `camera/video on', so there was no visual contact with some participants
- Made engagement much more difficult

Overall Conclusions

- Using the testing regime and feedback has enable improvements to course content and delivery to be made
- Moving the dental courses online did not result in any loss of course value
- Requiring active video and participation appears to be important to ensure engagement